CITY OF CARMEL-BY-THE-SEA CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION NO. 2020-079

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CARMEL-BY-THE-SEA DECLARING THE RESULTS OF THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION HELD IN THE CITY OF CARMEL-BY-THE-SEA ON NOVEMBER 3, 2020

WHEREAS, on June 2, 2020 the City Council adopted Resolution 2020-033 calling for the holding of a Municipal Election for the purpose of electing a Mayor for a full term of two-years and two Council Members for full-terms of four-years; and

WHEREAS, Resolution 2020-033 requested the County Elections Department to conduct the Election, requested consolidation of the Election and provided a procedure for determining a tie for the November 3, 2020 General Municipal Election; and

WHEREAS, the Monterey County Elections Department canvassed the returns of the election, has provided the results and those results are hereby attached and made a part hereof as Exhibit A.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CARMEL-BY-THE-SEA:

All absentee and provisional ballots have been duly received and canvassed in the time, form and manner as required by Law.

The whole number of votes cast in said election was as follows:

OFFICE	CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES
Mayor	Dave Potter	1,658
Mayor	Judy Refuerzo	578
Council Member	Mo Massoudi	634
Council Member	Karen Ferlito	1,121
Council Member	Graeme A. Robertson	768
Council Member	Bobby Richards	1,040

The number of votes cast at each precinct are as provided in Attachment A.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that **Dave Potter** is hereby elected to the office of Mayor for a two-year term and **Karen Ferlito** and **Bobby Richards** are hereby elected to the office of City Council Member each for a four-year term.

The City Clerk is instructed to issue a Certificate of Election to **Dave Potter, Karen Ferlito and Bobby Richards** and administer the Oath of Office to each elected person and shall have them subscribe to it and file it in the Office of the City Clerk. Resolution No. 2020-079 Page 2

The City Clerk is instructed to enter into the records of this City Council this Resolution as a statement of the result of said election.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CARMEL-BY-THE-SEA this 3rd day of December, 2020, by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS BARON, REIMERS, THEIS, MAYOR PRO TEM RICHARDS, MAYOR POTTER

NOES: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

APPROVED:

ATTEST:

Dave Potter Mayor Britt Avrit, MMC City Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

In the Matter of the CANVASS OF THE VOTES CAST at the **General Election** held on November 3, 2020

I, Claudio Valenzuela, Registrar of Voters of the County of Monterey, State of California hereby certify;

THAT an election was held within the boundaries of the City of Carmel-by-the-Sea on November 3, 2020 for the purpose of electing (1) Mayor to the City of Carmel-by-the-Sea, and; I caused to have processed and recorded the votes from the canvass of all ballots cast at said election within the boundaries of the City of Carmel-by-the-Sea.

I HEREBY FURTHER CERTIFY that the record of votes cast at said election is set forth in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth at length.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my hand and official seal this Tuesday, November 24, 2020 and filed this date with the **City of Carmel-by-the-Sea**.

Claudio Valenzuela Registrar of Voters

Resolution 2020-079

Page 4

Contest 36 of 101

EXHIBIT A

City of Carmel-By-The-Sea, Mayor (Vote for 1) ----- Insufficient Turnout to Protect Voter Privacy

District	Turnout	Ragistered Voters	DAVE POTTER		JUDY REFUERZO		Total Votes
Countywide	1	~ >	4		-		Ē
Electionwide							
Polling Place (PP)	184	2,687	105	66.88%	52	33.12%	157
Vote by Mail (VBM)	2,265	2,687	1,553	74.70%	526	25.30%	2,079
	• •		• •			·· ·	
Electionwide - Totai	2,449	2,687	1,658	74.15%	578	25.85%	2,236
Statewide						• •	
Polling Place (PP)	184	2,687	105	55.88%	52	33.12%	157
Vote by Mail (VBM)	2,265	2,687	1,553	74,70%	526	25,30%	2,079
Statewide - Total	2,449	2,687	1,658	74,15%	578	25.85%	2,236
20th Congressional District							
Polling Place (PP)	184	2,687	105	66.88%	52	33.12%	157
Vote by Mail (VBM)	2,265	2,687	1,553	74.70%	526	25.30%	2,079
20th Congressional District -	2,449	2,687	1,658	74.15%	578	25.85%	2,236
Total							
17th State Senatorial District							
Poling Place (PP)	184	2,687	105	65.88%	52	33.12%	157
Vote by Mail (VBM)	2,265	2,687	1,553	74.70%	526	25.30%	2,079
17th State Senatorial District -	2,449	2,587	1,658	74.15%	578	25.85%	2,236
Total 29th State Assembly District					•		,
-	184	2,687	105	66.88%	52	33.12%	157
Poliing Place (PP) Vote by Mail (VBM)	2.265	2,687	1,553	74.70%	526	25.30%	2,079
29th State Assembly District -		•	1,658	74.15%	578	25.85%	2,236
Ţotal	2,449	2,687					
2nd State Board Equal District							
Polling Place (PP)	184	2,687	105	65.88%	52	33,12%	157
Vote by Mail (VBM)	2,265	2,687	1,553	74.70%	526	25.30%	2,079
2nd State Board Equal District -	2,449	2,687	1,658	74.15%	578	25.85%	2,236
Total 5th Supervisorial District							
Polling Place (PP)	184	2.687	105	66.88%	52 [°]	33,12%	157
Vote by Mail (V8M)	2,265	2,687	1,553	74.70%	526	25.30%	2,079
5th Supervisorial District - Total		2.687	1,658	74.15%	578	25.85%	2,236
•	2,449	2,007					
City of Cannel-By-The-Sea			400			22.600	45-1
Poliing Place (PP)	184	2,687	105	66.88%	52	33.12%	157
Vote by Mail (VBM) City of Carnel-By-The-Sea -	2,265	2,687	1,553 1 668	74.70% 74.15%	526 578	25.30% 25.85%	2,079 2,2 36
. Total	2,449	2,687	1,658	, ~ , (.) 76	3/0	23.0370	4430
Polling Place (PP)	184	2,687	105	66.88%	52	33.12%	157
Vote by Mail (VBM)	2,265	2,687	1,553	74.70%	526	25.30%	2,079
Unincorporated - Total	2,449	2,687	1,658	74.15%	578	25.85%	2,236

Page 1 of 1

EXHIBIT A

City of Carmel-By-The-Sea, Mayor (Vote for 1) -Insufficient Turnout to Protect Voter Privacy

Precinct Countywide Electionwide	Turnout	Registered Voters	DAVE POTTER		JUDY REFUERZO		Total Votes
5120	•	• • •					
Poiling Place (PP)	91	1,417	50	65.79%	26	34.21%	- 76
Vote by Mail (VBM)	1,215	1,417	819	73.26%	299	26.74%	1,118
Total	1,306	1,417	869	72.78%	325	27.22%	1,194
5121							
Polling Place (PP)	93	1,270	55	67.90%	26	32.10%	81
Vote by Mail (VBM)	1,050	1,270	734	76.38%	227	23.62%	961
Total	1,143	1,270	789	75.72%	253	24.28%	1,042
Electionwide - Total	2,449	2,687	1,658	74.15%	578	25.85%	2,236
Countywide - Total	2,449	2,687	1,658	74.15%	578	25.85%	2,236

Page 1 of 1

CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

In the Matter of the CANVASS OF THE VOTES CAST at the **General Election** held on November 3, 2020

I, Claudio Valenzuela, Registrar of Voters of the County of Monterey, State of California hereby certify;

THAT an election was held within the boundaries of the City of Carmel-by-the-Sea on November 3, 2020 for the purpose of electing (2) Councilmembers to the City of Carmel-by-the-Sea, City Council, and; I caused to have processed and recorded the votes from the canvass of all ballots cast at said election within the boundaries of the City of Carmel-by-the-Sea.

I HEREBY FURTHER CERTIFY that the record of votes cast at said election is set forth in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth at length.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my hand and official seal this Tuesday, November 24, 2020 and filed this date with the **City of Carmel-by-the-Sea**.

Claudio Valenzuela Registrar of Voters Resolution 2020-079

Page 7 Contest 37 of 101

EXHIBIT A

City of Carmel-By-The-Sea Member, City Council (Vote for 2) **** - Insufficient Turnout to Protect Voter Privacy

Destrict Ng <		•		NOSE									
Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<>		· ·	8	ianos	÷.,	ERLITO		A ROBER		ICHARDS		S	
Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<>	District	Inout	egister	ID MAS	:	AREN F		RAEME		OBBY R		otal Vort	
Heartimatic Parting Place (PP) 184 2.687 67 2.028% 65 2.287 57 2.287 57 2.287 53 2.271% 66 2.834% 2.334 Bectorundar, Total 2.449 2.667 634 17.978 1.121 31.46% 768 27.578 1.040 20.19% 2.563 Statewide 2.265 2.667 634 17.79% 1.121 31.46% 768 21.578 1.040 23.19% 2.563 Zoth Congressional District 2.267 634 17.79% 1.121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1.040 25.19% 2.553 Zoth Congressional District 2.467 507 17.01% 1.065 31.67% 776 21.45% 1.040 23.19% 2.553 Zoth Congressional District 2.467 507 17.01% 1.065 31.67% 776 21.45% 1.040 23.19% 2.553 Total 2.467 507 17.01% 1.025 31.67% </th <th></th> <th>_</th> <th>a: ></th> <th>2</th> <th></th> <th>×</th> <th>•</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		_	a: >	2		×	•						
Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,867 587 17,61% 1,055 31,87% 716 21,48% 979 28,24% 3,334 Blectionwide Total 2,449 2,687 634 17,79% 1,121 31,46% 768 21,55% 1,040 20,19% 2,563 Stetwide Total 2,449 2,687 587 17,51% 1,056 31,67% 776 21,45% 52 2,35% 1,040 23,19% 2,328 334 Stetwide Total 2,449 2,667 587 1,751% 1,046 768 21,45% 1,040 23,19% 2,52 Z016 Corregrostroal District 2,449 2,667 597 1,751% 1,056 31,67% 776 21,48% 975 28,24% 3,354 Z016 Corregrostroal District 2,497 2,497 20,52% 65 28,38% 52 2,271% 65 28,38% 22 2,71% 65 28,38% 22 2,71% 65 28,38% 22,21%% <td< td=""><td>and the second second</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td>• ,</td></td<>	and the second				•						•	• ,	
Bectonwide Total 2.449 2.687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 23.19% 2.563 Stetewide Poling Piace (PP) 184 2.687 587 17.51% 1,056 31.67% 715 21.49% 975 23.24% 3.334 Vote by Mal (VBM) 2.265 2.687 587 17.51% 1,021 31.46% 778 21.24% 975 23.24% 3.334 2004 Corgressional District Poling Piace (PP) 184 2.687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 222 22.43% 3.34 2004 Congressional District 2.449 2.687 47 20.52% 65 28.39% 52 22.71% 65 28.39% 22.91% 3.563 1765 State Senatorial District Poling Piace (PP) 184 2.687 47 20.52% 65 28.39% 52 2.271% 65 28.39% 229 9 O	Polling Place (PP)	184	2,687	47	20.52%	65	28.38%	52	22.71%	65	28.38%	229	
Eaktonweile Sistewiele Color Color <thcolor< th=""> Color Color<</thcolor<>	Vote by Mail (VBM)	2,265	2,687	587	17.61%	1,055	31.67%	716	21.48%	975	29.24%	3,334	
Point Place (PP) 164 2,687 47 0.032% 65 28.38% 52 2.2.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (V9M) 2,265 2,667 567 17.71% 1.066 31.67% 715 21.48% 775 23.24% 3.33.4 Statewide - Total 2,449 2,687 47 20.32% 65 28.36% 52 2.271% 65 28.36% 2.2 2.155% 1.040 2.19% 3.33.4 20th Congressional Dutatic 2,449 2,667 597 17.61% 1.025 31.67% 716 21.55% 1.040 2.19% 3.33.4 20th Congressional Dutatic 2,449 2,687 597 17.61% 1.025 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 23.26% 3.35.4 1745 State Stateshortal Detrict 2,491 2,587 64 17.79% 1.121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1.040 21.95% 3.35.63 21745 State State Astendaby Diletrit		2,449	2,587	634	17.79%	1,121	31.46%	[*] 768	21.55%	1, 0 40	29.19%	3,563	
Vate by Mail (VBM) 2.265 2.667 597 17.51% 1.056 31.67% 715 21.48% 975 23.24% 3.334 Statewide - Total 2.449 2.687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1.040 23.19% 3.563 Zoh Congressional District 2.449 2.687 507 17.61% 1.056 31.67% 716 21.45% 975 23.24% 3.334 20th Congressional District 2.449 2.687 507 17.61% 1.026 31.67% 716 21.43% 975 23.24% 3.334 20th Congressional District 704 2.687 57 17.61% 1.026 31.67% 716 21.43% 975 23.24% 3.334 1765 State Schatchild District 2.449 2.687 57 17.61% 1.026 31.67% 716 21.43% 975 23.24% 3.334 1765 State Assembly District 2.449 2.687 587 17.75% 1.121	and the second	184	2.687	47	20.52%	65	28.38%	52	22.71%	. 65	28.38%	229	
Stanwiste Total 2,449 2,687 634 17,79% 1,121 31,46% 768 21,55% 1,040 23,19% 3,563 20th Congressional District Poling Place (PP) 164 2,687 507 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 2,449 975 32,44% 3,334 20h Congressional District 2,449 2,687 507 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 2,149% 975 32,34% 3,334 Total 2,449 2,687 597 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,49% 975 32,34% 3,344 Total 2,687 597 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,49% 3,563 1,040 23,19% 3,563 Total 2,687 597 17,51% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,49% 3,563 1,040 23,19% 3,563 Total 2,687 597 17,51% 1,05	in a state that is a first set of the			587									
Zoth Congressional District Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20,52% 65 28,38% 52 22,71% 65 28,38% 323 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 597 17,61% 1,056 31,57% 776 21,46% 975 29,24% 3,334 2016 Congressional District 2,449 2,687 47 20,52% 65 28,39% 52 22,71% 65 28,38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 597 17,61% 1,055 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 29,24% 3,334 17th State Senatorial District 2,449 2,687 634 17,79% 1,121 31,46% 768 21,55% 1,040 29,19% 3,563 Total 2,449 2,687 634 17,79% 1,121 31,46% 768 21,55% 1,040 29,19% 3,563 201ling Place (PP) 184 2,687 477 20,52% 65 28,	 Second and a second seco		· · · · · · ·		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 567 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 29,24% 3,334 20th Congressional District 2,449 2,687 634 17,79% 1,121 31,66% 769 21,55% 1,040 21,9% 3,563 176th State Senatorial District 2,657 597 17,61% 1,056 31,87% 716 21,48% 975 22,24% 3,334 176th State Senatorial District 2,449 2,667 637 17,75% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 22,24% 3,334 29th State Assembly District 2,667 637 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 22,24% 3,334 29th State Assembly District 2,667 47 20,52% 65 28,38% 52 22,71% 65 28,39% 22,24% 3,344 29th State Assembly District 2,449 2,667 47 20,52% 65 28,38% 52	20th Congressional District	·- · ·			· · · ·					· .		'	
Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 597 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 29,24% 3,334 20th Congressional District- Total 2,449 2,687 634 17,79% 1,121 31,66% 769 21,55% 1,040 23,19% 3,563 176t State Senatorial District- Total 2,687 597 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 29,24% 3,334 176t State Senatorial District- Total 2,449 2,667 637 17,75% 10,121 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 29,24% 3,334 29th State Assembly District- Total 2,449 2,667 637 17,51% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 29,24% 3,334 29th State Assembly District- District 2,449 2,667 637 17,51% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 29,24% 3,344 29th State Assembly District- District 2,449 2,667 47	Polling Place (PP)	184	2,687	47	20.52%	65	28.38%	52	22.71%	65	28.38%	229	
20th Congressional District. Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 17th State Senatorial District Polling Place (PP) 164 2,687 567 17.61% 10.565 31.67% 716 21.49% 975 29.24% 3.334 17th State Senatorial District 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 776 21.49% 975 29.24% 3.334 17th State Senatorial District 2,449 2,687 673 17.61% 1.056 31.67% 716 21.49% 975 29.24% 3.363 29th State Assembly District 2,449 2,667 674 17.79% 1.121 31.46% 768 21.5% 1.040 21.9% 3.563 29th State Assembly District 2,449 2,667 674 17.79% 1.121 31.46% 768 21.5% 1.040 21.9% 3.563 29th State Assembly District 2,667 674		2,265	2,687	587	17.61%	1,056	31.67%	716	21.48%	97 5	29,24%	3,334	
17th State Senatorial District Polling Place (PP) 184 2,887 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 22.9 Vote by Mail (VBN) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,055 31.67% 716 21.48% 973 292.4% 3.334 17th State Assembly District 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 29.19% State Assembly District Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 577 17.61% 1,055 31.67% 716 21.49% 975 29.24% 3,334 29.10% State Assembly District - 2,449 2,687 637 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.49% 975 29.24% 3,334 29.10% State Assembly District - 2,449 2,687 637 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.49% 975 29.24% <td< td=""><td>20th Congressional District -</td><td>2,449</td><td></td><td>534</td><td>17.79%</td><td>1,121</td><td>31,46%</td><td>768</td><td>21.55%</td><td>1,040</td><td>29.19%</td><td>3,563</td></td<>	20th Congressional District -	2,449		534	17.79%	1,121	31,46%	768	21.55%	1,040	29.19%	3,563	
Vate by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,697 597 17,61% 1,055 31,67% 716 21,49% 975 23,24% 3,334 17th 556te Senatorial District- Total Total 2,449 2,697 634 17,79% 1,121 31,46% 758 21,55% 1,040 29,19% 3,563 Zeth State Assembly District- Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,697 547 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,49% 975 22,24% 3,334 29th State Assembly District- Total 2,449 2,687 547 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,49% 975 22,24% 3,334 Zeth State Assembly District- Total 2,449 2,687 547 17,61% 1,056 31,57% 716 21,48% 975 29,24% 3,334 Zeth State Board Equal District - Total 2,449 2,687 587 17,61% 1,056 31,57% 716 21,48% 975 29,24% 3,334 <td colspamal="" district<="" td="" td<=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></td>	<td></td> <td>-</td>												-
17th State Senatorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,721 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.15% 3,363 Z9th State Assembly District Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 567 17.61% 1,055 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 292.43% 3,334 Z9th State Assembly District Call 2,687 567 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 292.43% 3,334 Z9th State Assembly District Call 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 Z9th State Assembly District Call 2,687 657 17.61% 1,056 31.57% 716 21.48% 975 292.43% 3,334 Z9th State Assembly District Call State Board Equal District Poliling Place (PP) 184	Polling Place (PP)	184	2,687	47	20.52%	65	28.38%	52	22.71%	65:	28.38%	229	
17th State Senatorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,721 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 Z9th State Assembly District - Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 567 17.61% 1,055 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 292.4% 3,334 Z9th State Assembly District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 292.4% 3,334 Z9th State Assembly District - Total 2,449 2,687 637 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 292.4% 3,354 Z9th State Assembly District - Total 2,449 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 292.4% 3,344 Zefe State Board E	Vote by Mail (VBM)	2,265	2,687	587	17.61%	1,055	31.67%	716	21.48%	975	29.Z4%	3,334	
Zehr State Assembly District Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20,52% 65 28,38% 52 22,71% 65 28,38% 229 Vote by Meil (VBM) 2,265 2,697 587 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 29,24% 3,334 Zehr State Assembly District - 2,449 2,697 634 17,79% 1,121 31,46% 768 21,55% 1,040 29,19% 3,563 Znd State Board Equal District. - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>2,687</td><td>634</td><td>17.79%</td><td>1,121</td><td>31.46%</td><td>768</td><td>21.55%</td><td>1,040</td><td>29,19%</td><td>3,563</td></td<>			2,687	634	17.79%	1,121	31.46%	768	21.55%	1,040	29,19%	3,563	
Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 292,49% 3,334 29th State Assembly District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17,79% 1,121 31,65% 768 21,55% 1,040 29,19% 3,563 2nd State Board Equal District 2,687 587 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 29,19% 3,563 2nd State Board Equal District - Total 2,687 587 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 29,24% 3,344 2nd State Board Equal District - Total 2,449 2,687 587 17,61% 1,056 31,67% 716 21,48% 975 29,24% 3,334 2nd State Board Equal District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17,79% 1,121 31,66% 752 22,71% 655 28,38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 634 17,79					• ••••	· · ·	···				a ann b	· · ·	
29th State Assembly District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29,19% 3,563 District. Polling Place (PP) 164 2,667 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.57% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 2nd State Board Equal District - Total 2,449 2,687 587 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 2nd State Board Equal District 2,449 2,687 587 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 Sth Supervisorial District 2 2 2 22.71% 65 28.30% 22 27.1% 65 28.30% 229 3,563 Sth Supervisorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 537 <td< td=""><td>Polling Place (PP)</td><td>184</td><td>2,687</td><td>47</td><td>20.52%</td><td>65</td><td>28.38%</td><td>52</td><td>22,71%</td><td>65</td><td>28.38%</td><td>229</td></td<>	Polling Place (PP)	184	2,687	47	20.52%	65	28.38%	52	22,71%	65	28.38%	229	
Z9th State Assembly District- Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31,46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29,19% 3,563 2nd State Board Equal District District Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 47 20,52% 65 28,38% 52 22.71% 65 28,38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.57% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,363 2nd State Board Equal District 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,363 2nd State Board Equal District 2,449 2,687 637 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,363 5th Supervisorial District 768 21.48% 975 29.4% 3,334 5th Supervisorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 637 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716	Vote by Mail (VBM)	2,265	2,687	587	17.61%	1,056	31.67%	716	21.48%	975	29.24%	3,334	
Total District. Total Strict. Strict. Strict. </td <td></td> <td>2 449</td> <td>2687</td> <td>634</td> <td>17.79%</td> <td>1,121</td> <td>31.46%</td> <td>768</td> <td>21.55%</td> <td>1,040</td> <td>29.19%</td> <td>3,563</td>		2 449	2687	634	17.79%	1,121	31.46%	768	21.55%	1,040	29.19%	3,563	
Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20,52% 65 28,38% 52 22,71% 65 28,38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 567 17.61% 1,056 31.57% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 2nd State Board Equal District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 Sth Supervisorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 Sth Supervisorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 Sth Supervisorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 637 10.56 <	2nd State Board Equal		444		:								
Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.57% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 2nd State Board Equal District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 Sth Supervisorial District 2,449 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 547 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 715 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 Sth Supervisorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 547 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 715 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 Sth Supervisorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 547 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 City of Cannel-By-The-Sea 2 2 26.687 587 17.61%<		104	3 647	47	70 529		10 200		17 710/	CF.	78 2 69/		
2nd State Board Equal District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 Sth Supervisorial District Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.49% 975 29.24% 3.334 Sth Supervisorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3.563 Sth Supervisorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3.563 City of Cannel-By-The-Sea 2 2 768 21.48% 975 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% <td>a second a second a second a second a second</td> <td></td>	a second a second a second a second a second												
Total 2,439 2,837 634 11.11 11.14 1			· · ·			- 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10					e a la artesta de la composición de la c		
Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mall (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 715 21.48% 975 29.24% 3.334 5th Supervisorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 City of Carmel-By-The-Sea Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 City of Carmel-By-The-Sea - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79	Total	2,449 .	2,687	034		1,121	51.440%		21.2070	5.04U	2.3.1978		
Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 715 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 Sth Supervisorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 City of Carmel-By-The-Sea Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,449 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 City of Carmel-By-The-Sea - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20.52%		184	2687	47	20.52%	65	78 38%	57	22,71%	65	28 38%	229	
Sth Supervisorial District - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 City of Cannel-By-The-Sea Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 City of Cannel-By-The-Sea 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 City of Cannel-By-The-Sea 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29,19% 3,563 Total 2,449 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 47 20.52% 65	. –												
City of Carmel-By-The-Sea Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 City of Carmel-By-The-Sea - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563 Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,249 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 229 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.51% 1,055 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334	1							768	1 A 1 A 1	1,040		·	
Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334 City of Carmel-By-The-Sea - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29,19% 3,563 Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 22.9 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,055 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334	City of Cannel-By-The-Sea	· · · ·		• •	· ·					1 e			
City of Carmel-By-The-Sea - Total 2,449 2,687 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29,19% 3,563 Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 22.9 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.61% 1,055 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334		184	2,687	47	20.52%	65	28.39%	52	22.71%	. 55	28.38%	229	
Total 2,449 2,687 65 10.56 10		2,265	2,687	587	17.61%	1,056	31.67%	716	21.48%	975	29.24%	3,334	
Polling Place (PP) 184 2,687 47 20.52% 65 28.38% 52 22.71% 65 28.38% 22.9 Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.51% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334		2,449	2,687	634	17.79%	1,121	31.4 6%	768	21.55%	1,040	29,19%	3,563	
Vote by Mail (VBM) 2,265 2,687 587 17.51% 1,056 31.67% 716 21.48% 975 29.24% 3,334		184	2,687	47	20.52%,	65	28.38%	52	22.71%	65	28.38%	229	
Unincorporated - Total 2,449 2,667 634 17.79% 1,121 31.46% 768 21.55% 1,040 29.19% 3,563	and the second	2,265	2,687	587	17.51%	1,056	31.67%	716	21.48%	975	29.24%	3,334	
	Unincorporated - Total	2,449	2,687	634	17.79%	1,121	31.46%	768	21.55%	1,040	29.19%	3,563	

Resolution 2020-079

Page 8 Contest: 37 of 101

EXHIBIT A

11/24/2020 1:39:19 PM

City of Carmel-By-The-Sea Member, City Council (Vote for 2) **** - Insufficient Turnout to Protect Voter Privacy

Precinct		Turnout	Registered Voters	MO MASSOUDI		karen ferlito		GRAEME A. ROBERTSON		BOBBY RICHARDS	·	Total Votes
	Countywide				• •		· · .		-			•
	Electionwide									•		
5120											· · · ·	
	Poiling Place (PP)	91	1,417	22	21.15%	32	30.77%	20	19.23%	30	28.85%	104
	Vote by Mail (VBM)	1,215	1,417	346	19.63%	550	31.20%	346	19.63%	521	29.55%	1,763
	Total	1,306	1,417	368	19.71%	582.	31.17%	366	19.60%	5511	29.51%	1,867
5121												• •
	Polling Place (PP)	93	1,270	25	20.00%	33	26.40%	32	25.60%	35	28.00%	125
	Vote by Mail (VBM)	1,050	1,270	241	15.34%	506	32.21%	370	23,55%	454	28.90%	1,571
	Total	1,143	1,270	266	15.68%	539	31.78%	402	23.70%	489	28.83%	1,696
	Electionwide - Total	2,449	2,687	634	17.79%	1,121	31.46%	768	21.55%	1,040	29.19%	3,563
	Countywide - Total	2,449	2.687	534	17.7 9 %	1,121	31.46%	768	21.55%	1,040	29.19%	3,563
	· · · · ·					.,				.,		-,